ZOOLOGY =

Analysis of Parasitofauna and Endosymbionts of *Lissotriton vulgaris* L., 1758 (Caudata, Salamandridae) in Natural and Urban Gradients of the Environment

A. V. Burakova^{*a*}, *, D. L. Berzin^{*a*}, and V. L. Vershinin^{*a*}, ^{*b*}

^a Institute of Plant and Animal Ecology, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Yekaterinburg, 620144 Russia ^b Ural Federal University, Institute of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Yekaterinburg, 620002 Russia *e-mail: annabios@list.ru

Received November 16, 2022; revised February 1, 2023; accepted February 6, 2023

Abstract—The analysis of the parasitic and endosymbiontofauna of the common newt (*Lissotriton vulgaris* L., 1758) in the natural and urban landscapes of the Middle Urals is presented for the first time. Four species of helminths belonging to the Nematoda type were found, including one taxon indeterminate to the species (*Nematoda* sp.) and one species of endosymbionts (phylum Chromista). The works devoted to the species composition of *L. vulgaris* parasites on the territory of Eurasia are analyzed. The fauna of the helminths of the common newt on the eastern slope of the Urals is depleted, which is probably determined by the limited distribution of the species outside Europe, the temperature regime in the north, and the availability of moisture in the south.

Keywords: common newt, helminths, urbocenosis, natural gradient of the environment **DOI:** 10.1134/S1062359023602732

The common newt (*Lissotriton vulgaris* L., 1758) is a widespread and ecologically flexible species of tailed amphibians (Vershinin, 2007), inhabiting the forest zone of the European part and second in number only to brown frogs. This species is found in anthropogenic landscapes (mainly in forested and suburban areas) (Vershinin, 2007; Kuzmin, 2012). Threat of extinction of local populations *L. vulgaris* relatively small compared to *Triturus cristatus* (Laurenti, 1768) (Kinne, 2006). However, in the landscapes of megacities, *L. vulgaris* may have the status of a rare and even endangered species (Fayzulin and Kuzovenko, 2015; Kidov et al., 2021).

The number and distribution of many species of amphibians have been declining globally in recent decades for various reasons: chemical pollution, destruction and transformation of habitats, various infections, and the emergence of invasive species of fish and amphibians (Berger et al., 1998; Houlahan et al., 2000; Reshetnikov, 2001; Blaustein and Kiesecker, 2002; Kinne, 2006; Wake and Vredenburg, 2008).

One of the significant biotic factors that regulate the number of hosts, and therefore affect the survival of animals, is parasitic invasions. Amphibians can be biological reservoirs of parasites and distributors of helminth infections in natural ecosystems and can serve as definitive, intermediate, facultative, and reservoir hosts for helminths. Some types of trematodes can accelerate puberty in newts and cause a reduction in life expectancy (Sinsch et al., 2018a) and various anomalies (Sessions and Ruth, 1990), making them most vulnerable to predators (Caffara et al., 2014), and thereby reduce the survival rate of amphibians and lead to population decline.

Within Russia, the parasitic fauna *L. vulgaris* has been described for Vologda oblast (Shabunov and Radchenko, 2012) and the Republic of Mordovia (Ruchin and Chikhlyaev, 2016). The most complete study of the helminth fauna of *L. vulgaris* was undertaken in Samara oblast (Chikhlyaev, 2007; Chikhlyaev et al., 2018).

For the Ural region, information on the parasites *L. vulgaris* is absent. In this regard, the purpose of this work is a comparative analysis of the parasitic fauna *L. vulgaris* in the European part of the range and in natural and urban landscapes of the Middle Urals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was carried out in May 2020 and 2021 in natural and urban areas of the Middle Urals (Fig. 1). The study of parasitic fauna along an urbanization gradient was carried out using the example of the city of Yekaterinburg, located on the eastern slope of the Middle Urals.



Fig. 1. Map-scheme of collecting material (1, residential part of the city of Yekaterinburg; 2, forest–park part of the city of Yekaterinburg; 3, village of Mramorskoye; 4, village of Bolshoye Koshaevo).

Within the urban agglomeration, a residential part and a forest park zone were distinguished (Vershinin et al., 2015). Suburban populations were used as controls for *L. vulgaris* (Fig. 1).

191 individuals of the common newt were studied, of which 119 specimens lived within the urban agglomeration (residential zone, 34 specimens; forest park zone, 85), and 72 specimens were from rural areas.

Identification of parasites was carried out according to standard methods (Ivashkin et al., 1971; Ryzhikov et al., 1980). The infestation of amphibians is assessed according to the following indicators: P(extensiveness of invasion), the proportion of infected host individuals in the studied sample (%); A (abundance index), the average number of parasites of a certain species or group of parasites in all individuals of the host, specimen/individual of the host (Breev, 1976). The assessment of the dominance structure was carried out using the approach of A.A. Kirillov (Kirillov, 2011). Statistical data processing was carried out using the Quantitative Parasitology program (Rozsa et al., 2000) and Statistica 7.0.

RESULTS

In the intestines of the common newts studied, four species of nematodes were found (one taxon was not identified to the species) and one species of endosymbiotic protozoa. The species composition and infestation rates are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

In natural areas *L. vulgaris* nematodes are represented by three species of the order Rhabditida; specimens of unknown species were also noted (*Nematoda* sp.). In urban communities of *L. vulgaris*, two types of nematodes were identified (Table 1). Along with the presence of common species (*Oswaldocruzia filiformis* and *Megalobatrachonema terdentatum*) on the studied territories of the Middle Urals, for *L. vulgaris* only on the eastern slope was a nematode larva of



 \blacksquare N. brevicaudatum, larvae \boxtimes Nematoda sp.

Fig. 2. The ratio of parasite species in *L. vulgaris* on the western and eastern slopes of the Middle Urals (W, Western slope; E, Eastern slope).

Neoxysomatium brevicaudatum noted, and specimens of *Nematoda* sp. were found on the western slope. The endosymbiotic protozoa *Cepedea dimidiata* was identified in *L. vulgaris* from the eastern slope of the Middle Urals and in urban communities.

In Central Ural populations of *L. vulgaris*, on the eastern slope, in terms of the share in the component community, the dominant species is *M. terdentatum* (97%), compared with the western slope (25%) (Fig. 2). In natural populations of *L. vulgaris* on the western slope, a bidominant structure is noted—*O. filiformis* (40%) and *Nematoda* sp. (35%) (Fig. 2). The extensiveness of invasion of *M. terdentatum* is also significantly higher for amphibians on the eastern slope compared to the western slope (Table 1).

For amphibians of the urban agglomeration (residential and forest-park zones) and natural areas, two general types of parasites have been noted—*O. filiformis* and *M. terdentatum* (Table 2). On the territory of the urban community and natural areas of the eastern slope, *M. terdentatum* dominates; its share in the urbanization gradient remains at a high level (from 96.67% for amphibians in suburban areas to 97.44% for animals in residential areas). The share of *O. filiformis* is low and increases along the urbanization gradient (from 1.67% in suburban areas to 2.56% in residential areas) (Fig. 3).

Nematode larvae of *N. brevicaudatum* were noted in animals in natural populations; the proportion was 1.67% (Table 2, Fig. 3).

	$P \pm SE/A \pm SE$							
	Middle Urals							
Parasite/Endosymbiont	(n = 191)							
Taraste, Endosymoton	natural are	Urban cenosis						
	Western slope $(n = 37)$	Eastern slope $(n = 35)$	(n = 119)					
Phylun	n Nematoda Cobb, 193	2						
Class Chromadorea Inglis, 1983	$\frac{24.30 \pm 7.05}{0.54 \pm 0.21}$	$\frac{40.0 \pm 8.28}{1.71 \pm 0.60}$	$\frac{29.40 \pm 4.18}{1.04 \pm 0.23}$					
Order Rhabditida Chitwood, 1933 <i>Oswaldocruzia filiformis</i> (Goeze, 1782)	$\frac{8.10 \pm 4.46}{0.22 \pm 0.16}$	$\frac{2.90 \pm 2.84}{0.03 \pm 0.02}$	$\frac{1.70 \pm 1.19}{0.03 \pm 0.02}$					
Megalobatrachonema terdentatum (Linstow, 1890)	$\frac{5.40 \pm 3.72}{0.14 \pm 0.11}$	$\frac{34.3^{\rm A}\pm 8.03}{1.66\pm 0.60}$	$\frac{28.60 \pm 4.14}{1.02 \pm 0.21}$					
Neoxysomatium brevicaudatum, larvae Zeder, 1800	_	$\frac{2.90 \pm 2.84}{0.03 \pm 0.02}$	_					
<i>Nematoda</i> sp.	$\frac{24.30 \pm 7.05}{0.19 \pm 0.09}$	_	_					
Phylum Chi	romista Cavalier-Smith	, 1987						
Class Opalinea Wenyon, 1926 Cepedea dimidiata (Metcalf, 1923)	_	$\frac{17.10 \pm 6.36}{9.06 \pm 4.37}$	$\frac{31.1 \pm 4.24}{17.33 \pm 2.93}$					
Total species	3/0	3/1	2/1					

 Table 1. Species composition and occupancy rates by parasites and endosymbionts in L. vulgaris in ecosystems of the Middle Urals

Above the line, *P*, extent of invasion, %; below the line, *A*, abundance index, host specimen/individual; SE, mathematical error; in the column "Total species," the number of species of parasites and endosymbionts is indicated through a fraction; ^A is significantly higher compared to the western slope (P < 0.05); "-" means no data.

Endosymbiotic protozoa have been observed both in residential areas and in natural landscapes. The extensiveness of invasion for *C. dimidiata* is significantly higher in *L. vulgaris* in the residential area, in comparison with the forest park area and natural area (Table 2).

An analysis of the literature data regarding the fauna of parasites of the common newt on the territory of Eurasia showed that the most diverse in the species composition of helminths is the Republic of Belarus (eight species, including five species of trematodes and three species of nematodes) and the Samara region (eight species, including six species of trematodes and two types of nematodes). The fewest helminth species were observed in populations from Italy (Sesto Fiorentino, Tuscany region), Northern Greece, and the Republic of Mordovia (one species). Moreover, trematodes were detected in the first two European regions, and nematodes were detected in newts on the territory of the Republic of Mordovia (Table 3).

For most of the regions of Europe and the Russian Federation considered, the two most common nematodes are *O. filiformis*, marked in *L. vulgaris* in Europe in the southwestern part of Germany (Rhineland-Palatinate), in Northern Greece, in the Republic of

BIOLOGY BULLETIN Vol. 51 No. 1 2024

Belarus, and in the regions of the Russian Federation (Vologda and Samara oblasts, Republic of Mordovia), and the nematode *M. terdentatum* identified in *L. vulgaris* in Germany, in the southwestern part of England (Somerset County), and in Vologda and Samara oblasts.

A number of species are known only for certain areas: larval forms of trematodes: Paralepoderma cloacicola (Luhe, 1909), met., Pharyngostomum cordatum (Diesing, 1850), met., Strigea sp., met., marked in L. vulgaris from the territory of Samara oblast; trematodes: Strigea sphaerula (Rudolphi, 1803) Szidat, 1928 and Opisthioglyphe ranae (Frohlich, 1791); nematodes: Cosmocerca ornata (Dujardin, 1845) Diesing, 1861 and Agamospirura Henry, Sisoff 1913 sp., larvae, found for common newts from the territory of the Republic of Belarus. The fluke Clinostomum spp. Leidy, 1856 was identified in L. vulgaris, in Italy (Tuscany region); the fluke Parastrigea robusta Szidat, 1928 and the nematode Cosmocerca longicauda (Linstow, 1885) were found in a common newt from Germany. Skreben Acanthocephalus anthuris (Dujardin, 1845) was found in L. vulgaris inhabiting the southwestern part of England (Table 3).

	$P \pm SE/A \pm SE$								
Parasite/Endosymbiont	Residential territory $(n = 34)$	Forest-park area $(n = 85)$	Natural areas $(n = 72)$						
Phylum Nematoda Cobb, 1932									
Class Chromadorea Inglis, 1983	$\frac{26.50 \pm 7.57}{1.15 \pm 0.42}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 31.80 \pm 5.05 \\ \hline 1.40 \pm 0.26 \\ \hline 2.50 \pm 1.69 \\ \hline 0.05 \pm 0.03 \\ \hline 31.80 \pm 5.05 \\ \hline 0.98 \pm 0.25 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 42.90 \pm 2 \\ \hline 1.89 \pm 0 \\ \hline 2.90 \pm 1 \\ \hline 0.03 \pm 0 \\ \hline 34.30 \pm 5 \\ \hline 1.66 \pm 0 \end{array}$							
Oswaldocruzia filiformis (Goeze, 1782)	$\frac{5.30 \pm 3.84}{0.05 \pm 0.03}$	$\frac{2.50 \pm 1.69}{0.05 \pm 0.03}$	$\frac{2.90 \pm 1.98}{0.03 \pm 0.02}$						
Megalobatrachonema terdentatum (Linstow, 1890)	$\frac{23.50 \pm 7.27}{1.12 \pm 0.42}$	$\frac{34.30 \pm 5.59}{1.66 \pm 0.60}$							
Neoxysomatium brevicaudatum, larvae Zeder, 1800	_	_	$\frac{2.90 \pm 1.98}{0.03 \pm 0.02}$						
Phylum Chromista Cavalier-Smith, 1987									
Class Opalinea Wenyon, 1926 Cepedea dimidiata (Stein, 1860) (Metcalf, 1923)	$\frac{47.10^{ab} \pm 8.56}{18.82 \pm 4.99}$	$\frac{24.70 \pm 4.68}{16.73 \pm 3.59}$	$\frac{17.10 \pm 4.43}{9.06 \pm 4.37}$						
Above the line D extent of invesion O' helew the line A sh	undance index heat masin	an /individual SE mathan	action a manual significantly						

Table 2.	Population	by parasites and	endosymbionts	in L. vulgaris in the	urbanization gradient
----------	------------	------------------	---------------	-----------------------	-----------------------

Above the line, *P*, extent of invasion, %; below the line, *A*, abundance index, host specimen/individual; SE, mathematical error; ^a, significantly higher compared to forest–park area ($P \le 0.05$); ^b, significantly higher compared to natural territory ($P \le 0.05$); "—" means no data.

DISCUSSION

The parasitic fauna of common newts in the study areas is characterized by both widespread species and specialized helminths of tailed amphibians.





Fig. 3. The ratio of parasite species for *L. vulgaris* in urbanized and natural areas of the eastern slope of the Middle Urals (III, residential area of the city of Yekaterinburg; IV, forest park zone of the city of Yekaterinburg; C, natural areas). The nematode *M. terdentatum* is a European species, a highly specific parasite, characteristic of the common newt in the western regions of Ukraine, Belarus, and Czechoslovakia, noted in *L. vulgaris* on the territory of Vologda oblast (Shabunov and Radchenko, 2012) and the Volga region (Samara) (Kirillov et al., 2018). Infection by *M. terdentatum* occurs orally in water or through reservoir hosts (Petter and Chabaud, 1971). There is the opinion that the presence of some species of nematodes may be associated with the isolation of the ecological niche of caudates, which influenced the independent formation of the helminth fauna of this group of hosts during evolution (Ryzhikov et al., 1980).

According to A.A. Kirillov et al. (Kirillov et al., 2018), the nematode *M. terdentatum* in the common newt living in the city of Samara was noted for the first time. Infection of animals with this species was also low and amounted to 25% and 0.5 helminths per individual. Similar data are shown for *L. vulgaris* from the territory of Vologda oblast (infestation is two specimens per individual) (Shabunov and Radchenko, 2012).

Domination of *M. terdentatum* in common newts on the Eastern slope of the Middle Urals in natural ecosystems and urban communities is probably due to the specific ecology of the host itself (*L. vulgaris*), as well as the biology of this helminth. Infection with *M. terdentatum* occurs orally during the aquatic phase of life of the common newt during active feeding when the reservoir hosts eaten are gastropods and oligochaetes (Petter and Chabaud, 1971). It was established (Petter and Chabaud, 1971) that the third invasive stage of *M. terdentatum* develops in an aquatic envi-

Table 3.	Species	composition	of r	parasites in L	. vuls	g <i>aris</i> acco	rding to	o the l	iterature data
		1				,	<u> </u>		

	Region							
Parasite	England	Germany	Italy	Northern Greece	Republic of Belarus	Vologda oblast	Republic of Mordovia	Samara oblast
Phylum P	lathelmir	thes Geg	genbaur,	1859			•	
Diplodiscus subclavatus (Pallas, 1760) Paralepoderma cloacicola (Luhe, 1909), met. Pharyngostomum cordatum (Diesing, 1850), met. Strigea sp., met. Abildgaard, 1790 Strigea sphaerula (Rudolphi, 1803) Szidat, 1928 Clinostomum spp. Leidy, 1856 Opisthioglyphe ranae (Frohlich, 1791) Pleurogenoides medians (Olsson, 1876) Alaria alata (Schrank, 1788) Krause, 1914 Parastrigea robusta Szidat, 1928 Phyli Oswaldocruzia filiformis Goeze, 1782 Megalobatrachonema terdentatum (Linstow, 1890) Cosmocerca ornata (Dujardin, 1845) Diesing, 1861	um Nema	+ atoda Col + +	+ bb, 1932	+	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+++	+	+ + + + + +
Cosmocerca longicauda (Linstow, 1885)		+			Т			
Phylum	Acantho	ocephala	Kölr., 17	71				
Acanthocephalus anthuris (Dujardin, 1845)	+							
Author	Avery, 1971	Sinsch et al., 2018a, Sinsch et al., 2018b	Caffara, 2014	Sattmann, 1990	Shimalov, 2009	Shabunov and Radchenko, 2012	Ruchin and Chikhlyaev, 2016	Faizulin et al., 2011, Chikhlyaev et al., 2018, Kirillov et al., 2018

ronment at a temperature of about 20°C. It has been shown (Berzin and Burakova, 2022) that infection of sexually mature animals of *Triturus cristatus* (Laurenti, 1768) by the nematode *M. terdentatum* begins already at a water temperature of 16.9°C and above. Unlike the crested newt, *L. vulgaris* is a less stenotopic species and its reproduction begins earlier at lower temperatures ($t = +8^{\circ}$ C) (Vershinin, 2007). In urban communities, spawning reservoirs warm up faster (Vershinin, 2007), which creates optimal conditions for the development of both newts and the nematodes *M. terdentatum*. Thus, most likely, favorable temperature conditions, as well as the presence of active nutrition in the aquatic phase of the life cycle, affect the likelihood of infection by *L. vulgaris* of this species.

Another type of helminth is the nematode *O. filiformis,* which is widespread in the Palearctic, parasitizing a wide range of amphibians and reptiles (Ryzhikov et al., 1980; Wacker, 2018). Common newts become infected by *O. filiformis* orally through accidental contact with infective larvae on land (Hendrikx, 1983).

Infestation of *L. vulgaris* by the nematode *O. fili-formis* is low, but this type of helminth is in second place in terms of its share in the component community. It has been shown (Kirillova et al., 2021) that the greatest infestation was noted for the common toad (*Bufo bufo* Linnaeus, 1758), as a species of large size and leading a predominantly terrestrial lifestyle. Most likely one of the reasons for the low infestation rates is the small size of the common newt and the relatively long duration of the aqueous phase.

Infection by O. filiformis in common newts occurs during the terrestrial phase of the life cycle, through accidental ingestion of food items. The most favorable conditions for the existence of common newts on land are special microclimatic conditions provided by the height and density of the grass stand (Vershinin, 2007). It has been shown (Wakker, 2018) that for the development of eggs of the nematode O. filiformis, optimal conditions are needed: these are biotopes with sufficient soil moisture, air temperature about +14...+15°C, dense vegetation cover on it, free-standing trees that create shade, and shrubs and reeds necessary for the movement of the larvae of this nematode. Thus, another reason for the infection of common newts by the nematode O. filiformis, in addition to the size of the animal, is the height of the grass stand along which the nematode larvae migrate.

N. brevicaudatum, larvae Zeder, 1800 is one of the parasites found in representatives of the herpetofauna of Europe (Borkovcová and Kopriva, 2005; Yildirimhan et al., 2005; Saglam and Arikan, 2006; Jones et al., 2012), noted in amphibians of the genera *Bombina* Oken, 1816; *Bufo* Laurenti, 1768; *Hyla* Laurenti, 1768; *Rana* Linne, 1758; and *Triturus* Rafinesque, 1815 and sometimes reptiles of the genera *Anguis* Linnaeus, 1758 and *Natrix* Laurenti, 1768 (Shimalov and Shimalov, 2000; Jones et al., 2012). A nematode that has a direct life cycle (first-stage larvae hatch from eggs outside the host and then develop and molt twice until the third stage of infection). The final host becomes infected orally, and larvae can be found in the tissues (Vashetko and Siddikov, 1999; Saeed et al., 2007).

Infestation with larvae of *N. brevicaudatum* is small, which is probably related, as in the case of the nematode *O. filiformis*, with the small size of the host itself.

One of the simplest amphibians that inhabits the digestive tract is *C. dimidiata* (Wahab et al., 2008). It is believed that this species has an endosymbiotic relationship with its hosts, without exerting any negative effects, despite their detection in large numbers (Poynton and Whitaker, 2001 cited in Mohammad et al., 2013).

It was shown (McConnachie, 1960) that the colonization of amphibians by representatives of the class Opalinea occurs during the breeding season and depends on the secretion of host hormones. After emerging from hibernation, amphibians begin to feed actively; with increasing daylight hours, the production of gonadotropin increases. Another factor influencing the activity of the pituitary gland, which secretes gonadotropin, in sexually mature individuals is an increase in the environmental temperature in the spring (McConnachie, 1960).

The temperature of the environment, as well as of the hosts themselves, influences the morphological and physiological characteristics of protozoa (Mikhalchenko, 1958; Sukhanova, 1953, 1963, quoted from Sukhanova, 1968).

For example, *Opalina ranarum* (Purkinje et Valentin, 1835) showed that in tadpoles, young of the year, and mature frogs, the average survival time of protozoan cysts is higher in a reservoir with a higher water temperature (Sukhanova, 1968).

High rates of invasion by the endosymbiotic protozoa *C. dimidiata* are probably associated with the synchronization of the life cycles of the host and protozoa during the period of reproduction, including the temperature regime of the reservoir; in May the water begins to warm up, which affects the reproduction and survival of protozoan cysts.

There is a trend towards an increase in the rates of invasion by endosymbionts in common newts in the urbanization gradient (toward zone III), which may be due to the thermal pollution characteristic of urban communities. In urban areas, approximately $1-2^{\circ}C$ higher than outside the city, accordingly, in the residential area, the May average monthly temperatures of water bodies are approximately $3^{\circ}C$ higher than in the forest park zone and natural areas (Vershinin, 2014).

The specificity of the species composition of the parasitic complex of the common newt on the eastern slope of the Urals is determined by the limited distribution of the species outside of Europe (Skorinov et al., 2008), the temperature regime in the north, and moisture supply in the south (Terentyev and Chernov, 1949; Kuzmin, 2012).

CONCLUSIONS

As the range of the common newt moves eastward, climatic zones and plant communities change, and the temperature and moisture supply of the territories change. The eastern slope of the Urals in this sense is characterized by an increasingly continental climate, which affects the species composition and diversity of the parasitic fauna of the common newt. Anthropogenic modifications of communities differ from natural ones in their greater thermal supply, but insufficient humidity, which affects the survival of helminths with a direct life cycle (*O. filiformis*, *N. brevicaudatum*, larvae) compared to *M. terdentatum*, the development of which occurs with a change in reservoir hosts.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to I.V. Bratseva for help in preparing the list of references.

FUNDING

This work was carried out within the framework of a State Assignment of the Institute of Plant and Animal Ecology, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences (state registration no. 122021000082-0).

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Permission to collection of this species in Russia is not required. Animals were collected, handled and euthanized in accordance with Russian Federation national rules from 1977 and the second part of Working Party Report DGXT of the EU (1997).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors of this work declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Avery, R.A., Helminth parasite populations in newts and their tadpoles, *Freshwater Biol.*, 1971, vol. 1, pp. 113–119. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1971.tb01549.x

Berger, L., Speare, R., Daszak, P., Green, D.E., Cunningham, A.A., Goggin, C.L., Slocombe, R., Ragan, M.A., Hyati, A.D., McDonald, K.R., Hines, H.B., Lips, K.R., Marantelli, G., and Parkes, H., Chytridiomycosis causes amphibian mortality associated with population declines in the rain forests of Australia and Central America, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1998, vol. 95, no. 1549031–9036. http://www.jstor.org/stable/45880.

Berzin, D.L. and Burakova, A.V., Diet features of the crested newt *Triturus cristatus* (Laurenti, 1768) at the eastern border of its range, *Russ. J. Ecol.*, 2022, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 221–227.

https://doi.org/10.1134/S1067413622030043

Blaustein, A.R. and Kiesecker, J.M., Complexity in conservation: lessons from the global decline of amphibian populations, *Ecol. Lett.*, 2002, vol. 5, pp. 597–608.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00352.x

Borkovcová, M. and Kopriva, J., Parasitic helminthes of reptiles (Reptilia) in south Moravia (Czech Republic), *Parasitol. Res.*, 2005, vol. 95, pp. 77–78.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-004-1258-6

Breev, K.A., Application of mathematical methods in parasitology, *Izv. VNIIORKh*, 1976, vol. 105, pp. 109–126.

Caffara, M., Bruni, G., Paoletti, C., Gustinelli, A., and Fioravanti, M.L., Metacercariae of *Clinostomum complanatum* (Trematoda: Digenea) in European newts *Triturus carnifex* and *Lissotriton vulgaris* (Caudata: Salamandridae), *J.* *Helminthol.*, 2014, vol. 88, pp. 278–285. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X13000151

Chikhlyaev, I.V., Materials for the helminth fauna of the common newt *Lissotriton vulgaris* (Linnaeus, 1758) in the Samara region, in *Aktual'nye problemy gerpetologii i toksi-kologii: Sb. nauch. tr.* (Current Problems of Herpetology and Toxicology: Collected Scientific Papers), Tolyatti: Inst. Ekol. Volzhsk. Bass. Ross. Akad. Nauk, 2007, no. 10, pp. 180–184.

Chikhlyaev, I.V., Kirillova, N.Yu., and Kirillov, A.A., Review of helminths of amphibians (Amphibia) of the Samara region, *Izv. Samar. Nauch. tsentra Ross. Akad. Nauk*, 2018, vol. 20, no. 5 (3), pp. 385–400.

Faizulin, A.I. and Kuzovenko, A.E., Species composition and distribution features of amphibians within the city of Samara, *Izv. Samar. Nauchn. Tsentra Ross. Akad. Nauk*, 2015, vol. 17, part 4, pp. 153–156.

Faizulin, A.I., Chikhlyaev, I.V., and Kuzovenko, A.E., Common newt *Lissotriton vulgaris* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Caudata, Amphibia) in the Samara region, *Samar. Luka: Probl. Region. Glob. Ekol.*, 2011, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 104–110.

Hendrikx, W.M.L., Observations on the routes of infection of *Oswaldocruzia filiformis* (Nematoda: Trichostrongylidae) in amphibian, *Zeit. Parasitekunde*, 1983, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 119–126.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00934016

Houlahan, J.E., Findlay, C.S., Schmidt, B.R., Meyer, A.H., and Kuzmin, S.L., Quantitative evidence for global amphibian population declines, *Nature*, 2000, vol. 404, pp. 752–755.

https://doi.org/10.1038/35008052

Ivashkin, V.M., Kontrimavichus, V.M., and Nazarova, N.S., *Metody sbora i izucheniya gel'mintov nazemnykh pozvonochnykh mlekopitayushchikh* (Methods for Collecting and Studying Helminths of Terrestrial Vertebrate Mammals), Moscow: Nauka, 1971.

Jones, R., Brown, D.S., Harris, E., Jones, J., Symondson, W.O.C., Bruford, M.W., and Cable, J., First record of *Neoxysomatium brevicaudatum* through the non-invasive sampling of *Anguis fragilis*: complementary morphological and molecular detection, *J. Helminthol.*, 2012, vol. 86, pp. 125–129.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X11000174

Kidov, A.A., Petrovskii, A.B., Shpagina, A.A., and Stepankova, I.V., *Current distribution of common newts (Lissotriton vulgaris) and crested newts (Triturus cristatus) in "old" Moscow and prospects for their conservation, Ekosistemy*, 2021, vol. 25, pp. 114–124.

Kirillov, A.A., Helminth communities of the common snake *Natrix natrix* L. (Reptilia: Colubridae) in the south of the Northern Volga region, *Izv. Samar. Nauch. tsentra Ross. Akad. Nauk*, 2011, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 127–134.

Kirillov, A.A., Kirillova, N.Yu., and Chikhlyaev, I.V., *Parazity pozvonochnykh zhivotnykh Samarskoi oblasti* (Parasites of Vertebrates in the Samara Oblast), Tolyatti: Poliar, 2018.

Kirillova, N.Yu., Kirillov, A.A., and Chikhlyaev, I.V., Morphological variability of *Oswaldocruzia filiformis* (Nematoda: Molineidae) in amphibians from European Russia, *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* 2021, vol. 818, p. 012018. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/818/1/012018 Kuz'min, S.L., *Zemnovodnye byvshego SSSR* (Amphibians of the Former USSR), Moscow: KMK, 2012.

McConnachie, E.W., Experiments on the encystation of *Opalina* in *Rana temporaria*, *J. Parasitol.*, 1960, vol. 50, nos. 1/2, pp. 171–181. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182000025270

Mohammad, K.N., Badrul, M.M., Mohamad, N., and Zainal-Abidin, A.H., Protozoan parasites of four species of wild anurans from a local zoo in Malaysia, *Trop. Biomed.*, 2013, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 615–620.

Petter, A.J. and Chabaud, A.G., Life-cycle of *Megalobatra-chonema terdentatum* (Linstow) in France, *Ann. Parasitol. Hum. Comp.*, 1971, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 463–477.

Reshetnikov, A.N., The influence of the introduced fish Chinese sleeper *Perccottus glenii* (Odontobutidae, Pisces) on amphibians in small reservoirs of the Moscow oblast, *Zh. Obshch. Biol.*, 2001, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 352–361.

Rozsa, L., Reczigel, J., and Majoros, G., Quantifying parasites in samples of hosts, *J. Parasitol.*, 2000, vol. 86, pp. 228–232.

https://doi.org/10.2307/3284760

Ruchin, A.B. and Chikhlyaev, I.V., Ecology of amphibians and reptiles of Mordovia. Message 3. Common newt, *Lissotriton vulgaris* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Tr. Mordov. Gos. Prir. Zapov.*, 2016, no. 16, pp. 419–430.

Ryzhikov, K.M., Sharpilo, V.P., and Shevchenko, N.N., *Gel'minty amfibii fauny SSSR* (Helminths of Amphibians of the Fauna of the USSR), Moscow: Nauka, 1980.

Saeed, I., Al-Barwari, S.E., and Al-Harmni, K.I., Metazoan parasitological research of some Iraqi amphibians, *Türk. Parazitol. Dergisi*, 2007, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 337–345.

Saglam, N. and Arikan, H., Endohelminth fauna of the marsh frog *Rana ridibunda* from Lake Hazar, Turkey, *Dis. Aquat. Org.*, 2006, vol. 72, pp. 253–260. https://doi.org/10.3354/dao072253

Sattmann, H., Endohelminths of some amphibians from Northern Greece (Trematoda, Acanthocephala, Nematoda; Amphibia: *Triturus, Rana, Bombina*), *Herpetozoa*, 1990, vol. 3, nos. 1/2, pp. 67–71.

Sessions, S.K. and Ruth, S.B., Explanation for naturally occurring supernumerary limbs in amphibians, *J. Exp. Zool.*, 1990, vol. 254, pp. 38–47. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.1402540107

Shabunov, A.A. and Radchenko, N.M., *Parazity ryb, zem-novodnykh i chaikovykh ptits v ekosistemakh krupnykh vo-doemov Vologodskoi oblasti* (Parasites of Fish, Amphibians and Gulls in the Ecosystems of Large Reservoirs of the Vologda Oblast), Vologda: Vologod. Gos. Tech. Univ., 2012.

Shimalov, V.V., Helminth fauna of amphibians (Vertebrata: Amphibia) in the Republic of Belarus, *Parazitologiya*, 2009, no. 43, part 2, pp. 118–129.

Shimalov, V.V. and Shimalov, V.T., Helminth fauna of snakes (Reptilia, Serpentes) in Belorussian Polesye, *Parasitol. Res.*, 2000, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 340–341. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004360050055 Sinsch, U., Kaschek, J., and Wiebe, J., Heavy metacercariae infestation (*Parastrigea robusta*) promotes the decline of a smooth newt population (*Lissotriton vulgaris*), *Salamandra*, 2018a, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 210–221.

Sinsch, U., Heneberg, P., Tesinsky, M., Balczun, C., and Scheid, P., Helminth endoparasites of the smooth newt *Lissotriton vulgaris*: linking morphological identification and molecular data, *J. Helminthol.*, 2018b, vol. 93, no. 3, pp. 332–341.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022149X18000184

Skorinov, D.V., Kuranova, V.N., Borkin, L.J., and Litvinchuk, S.N., Distribution and conservation status of the smooth newt (*Lissotriton vulgaris*) in Western Siberia and Kazakhstan, *Russ. J. Herpetol.*, 2008, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 157–165.

Successful re-introduction of the newts *Triturus cristatus* and *T. vulgaris, Endang. Species Res.*, 2006, vol. 1, pp. 25–40.

Sukhanova, K.M., *Temperaturnye adaptatsii u prosteishikh* (Temperature Adaptations in Protozoa), Leningrad: Nauka, 1968.

Terent'ev, P.V. and Chernov, S.A., *Opredelitel' presmykay-ushchikhsya i zemnovodnykh* (Key to Reptiles and Amphibians), Moscow: Sov. nauka, 1949.

Vakker, V.G., Parasitic system of the nematode Oswaldocruzia filiformis (Strongylida: Molineidae) in Kazakhstan, *Prints. Ekol.*, 2018, no. 4, pp. 44–64.

Vashetko, E.V. and Siddikov, B.H., The effect of the ecology of toads on the distribution of helminths, *Turk. J. Zool.*, 1999, vol. 23, pp. 107–110.

Vershinin, V.L., *Amfibii i reptilii Urala* (Amphibians and Reptiles of the Urals), Yekaterinburg: Ural. Otd. Ross. Akad. Nauk, 2007.

Vershinin, V.L., *Ekologiya goroda* (Ecology of the City), Yekaterinburg: Ural. Univ., 2014.

Vershinin, V.L., Vershinina, S.D., Berzin, D.L., Zmeeva, D.V., and Kinev, A.V., Long-term observation of amphibian populations inhabiting urban and forested areas in Yekaterinburg, Russia, *Sci. Data*, 2015, vol. 2, p. 150018. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2015.18

Wahab, A.R., Andy, T.W.A., and Intan, S., On the parasitic fauna of two species of anurans collected from Sungai Pinang, Penang Island, Malaysia, *Trop. Biomed.*, 2008, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 160–165.

Wake, D.B. and Vredenburg, V.T., Are we in the midst of the sixth mass extinction? A view from the world of amphibians, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. A.*, 2008, vol. 105, pp. 11466–11473.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0801921105

Yildirimhan, H.S., Karadeníz, E., Gürkan, E., and Koyun, M., Metazoan parasites of the marsh frog (*Rana ridibunda* Pallas 1771; Anura) collected from the different regions in Turkey, *Turk. Parazitol. Dergisi*, 2005, vol. 29, pp. 135–139.

Publisher's Note. Pleiades Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.