## TUNDRA BIOME

Proceedings

**IV.** International Meeting

on the

**Biological Productivity of Tundra** 

Leningrad USSR

October 1971

Edited by

F E Wielgolaski and Th Rosswall

Published by the Tundra Biome Steering Committee

April 1972

Copies can be obtained, price \$4.-, from

Swedish IBP Committee, Wenner-Gren Center, Sveavägen 166 15 tr, S-113 46 Stockholm, Sweden

Many preliminary results and tentative interpretations are included in this volume. The results and interpretations must not be referred to without written permission from the individuals concerned.

Original produced by S-byrån Sundt, Stockholm

Printed in Sweden Berlingska Boktryckeriet, Lund 1972 ALLF 482 72 002 Productivity of some shrub, dwarf shrub and herbaceous communities of forest-tundra

## P.L. GORCHAKOVSKY & N.I. ANDREYASHKINA

Institute of Animal and Plant Ecology, 8 March Street 202, Sverdlovsk 8, USSR

This paper is based on data obtained at the permanent station Harp (Aurora borealis) of the Institute of Plant and Animal Ecology of the Ural Scientific Centre of the USSR Academy of Sciences. This station is situated in the Yamal-Nenetz district of the Tumen region, 35 km NW of Salekhard.

The position of the station on the northern margin of the forest-tundra zone determines the general features of its vegetation: the larch (Larix sibirica) light forests and various types of tundra, swamps and meadows predominate in this area.

Two types of tundra community (moss shrub hummock tundra, dwarf shrub moss spotty tundra) and one type of meadow community (<u>Calamagrostis</u> <u>langsdorffii</u> and <u>Carex stans</u>-dominated swampy meadow) were selected as the main objects of study (Table 1).

In order to estimate the standing crop of above-ground biomass in the communities of hypoarctic shrubs and dwarf shrubs with a standard error not higher than 15 per cent, 7-14 plots of 0.25, 0.5 or  $1 \text{ m}^2$  each were taken (number and size of plots vary according to the distribution of dominant species and size of their above-ground parts). For the estimation of belowground biomass, the same number of plots 0.25 x 0.25 m was taken.

The annual production of above-ground biomass of shrubs and dwarf shrubs was estimated 1) on the basis of the biomass registered on the identical plots at the beginning and at the end of a certain growing season; 2) approximately, on the basis of the biomass of shoots of the current year registered at the end of a growing season.

For the estimation of the annual leaf fall of evergreen dwarf shrubs, three methods were used: a) calculation and comparison of structural elements of leaf biomass on the identical plots during several years; b) calculation and comparison of number of leaves present on the marked parts of shoots during several years; c) determination of ratio of number of live leaves to number of leaf scars in different zones of the annual growth of shoots.

The rate of decomposition of dead parts of plants (K) was determined on the basis of calculations as well as experimentally (litter bag method). The formula

 $K = \frac{L}{L + A}$  (JENNY, GESSEL & BINGHAM 1949) was used, where L = mass of leaves fallen during one year, and A = mass of leaves in litter.

## Table 1 Brief characteristics of main objects of study

Plant association	Shrubs	Dwarf shrubs and herbaceous plants	Mosses and lichens
Moss shrub hum- mock tundra	30-40 %, h = 30 cm; cop. <sub>2</sub> - Betula nana, sp Salix glauca, S. phylicifolia, Ledum palustre var. decumbens	30 %, h = 20 cm; cop. <sub>1</sub> - Vaccinium uliginosum, V. vitis-idaea, sp Carex hyper- borea	50-80 %, h = 5 cm; cop. <sub>2</sub> - Aulacomnium turgidum, Polytrichum commune, sp Hylo- comium splendens, Cladonia amaurocraea
Dwarf shrub moss spotty tundra	10-15 %, h = 30 cm; sp cop. <sub>1</sub> - Betula nana, Ledum palus- tre var. decumbens	60-70 %, h = 15 cm; cop2 - Vaccinium vitis-idaea, V. uli- ginosum, $cop1$ - Empetrum herma- phroditum, sp Diapensia lapponica, Arctous alpina, Loiseleuria procum- bens, Carex hyper- borea	70 %, h = 5-6 cm; cop. <sub>2</sub> - Aulacomnium turgidum, Dicranum congestum, cop. <sub>1</sub> - Dicranum elongatum, Polytrichum strictum, sp Dactylina arcti- ca, Cetraria nivalis, Cladonia uncialis
Calamagrostis langsdorffii and Carex stans- dominated swampy meadow	-	80 %, h = 65-70 cm; cop. <sub>3</sub> - Calama- grostis langsdorffii, sp Carex stans, Arctagrostis lati- folia, Poa arctica	2-3 %, h = 1 cm; sol Calliergon giganteum, Mnium pseudopunctatum

Layers (projective coverage, average height, dominant species)

As a result of this study it was established (Table 2) that the standing crops of phytomass in moss shrub tundra ( $3621 \text{ g/m}^2$ ), dwarf shrub moss tundra ( $2860 \text{ g/m}^2$  and in <u>Calamagrostis langsdorffii</u> - <u>Carex stans</u>-dominated mead-ow ( $3349 \text{ g/m}^2$ ) are rather similar. But the standing crop of above-ground biomass is almost twice as high in tundra communities (correspondingly 671-613 g/m<sup>2</sup>) as in the meadow community ( $356 \text{ g/m}^2$ ). The shoot-root ratio is 1:4 in tundra communities and 1:6 in the meadow community.

Leaves form about 90 per cent of the annually regenerated part of shoots of shrubs and dwarf shrubs. The annual growth of current year's stems of these plants is extremely small: about 1 per cent in <u>Betula nana</u>, 7 per cent in <u>Vac-cinium myrtillus</u>, 3 per cent in <u>Ledum palustre var</u>. <u>decumbens</u>, in relation to the mass of shoots of previous years.

All plantAll plantAll plantPlantMossesMossesAll plantassociationShrubsHerba-MossesMossesMossesPlantDwarfCeousMossesMossesMossesAll plantassociationShrubsshrubsplantsMossesMossesMossesMoss shrub160(27)68 (4)12 (5)431671 (36)1440(203)18102950(2018)3621(2054)1:4.4Munta137(14)134(22)412 (5)338613 (38)893(107)1354247(1461)2860(1499)1:3.71:3.3MutaUndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraCalamagros-IndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraCalamagros-IndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraCalamagros-IndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraCalamagros-IndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraCalamagros-IndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraCalamagros-IndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraCalamagros-IndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraIndraCalamagros-IndraIndraIndraI						Phytomass *	*				Shoot-r	Shoot-root ratio
Inclusion         Herba- buarf         Mosses and ceous         Mosses and and blants         Mosses (brown dead parts)         Mosses Total         Inclusion ses and ses and ses and ses and lichens           160(27)         68 (4)         12 (5)         431         671 (36)         1140(208)         1810         2950(2018)         3621(2054)         14.4.4           137(14)         134(22)         4         (2)         338         613 (38)         893(107)         1354         2247(1461)         2860(1499)         1:3.7           -         -         505(149)         4         509(149)         2840         -         2840         1:3.6			V	bove-groun	pq		Be	low-groun	q	1	All plant mass	
160(27)       68 (4)       12 (5)       431       671 (36)       1140(208)       1810       2950(2018)       3621(2054)       1:4.4         137(14)       134(22)       4 (2)       338       613 (38)       893(107)       1354       2247(1461)       2860(1499)       1:3.7         -       -       505(149)       4       509(149)       2840       -       2840       3349       1:5.6	_	Shrubs	Dwarf shrubs	Herba- ceous plants	Mosses and lichens	Total	Vascular plants	Mosses (brown dead parts			mcı. mos- ses and lichens	Mass of vascular plants
137(14) 134(22) 4 (2) 338 613 (38) 893(107) 1354 2247(1461) 2860(1499) 1:3.7 505(149) 4 509(149) 2840 - 2840 3349 1:5.6		160(27)	68 (4)	12 (5)	431	671 (36)	1	1810	2950(2018)	3621(2054)	1:4.4	1:4.8
505(149) 4 509(149) 2840 - 2840 3349 1:5.6	ub ty	137(14)		4 (2)	338	613 (38)	893(107)	1354	2247(1461)	2860(1499)	1:3.7	1:3.3
		I	I	505(149)	4	509(149)	2840	I	2840	3349	1:5.6	1:5.6

Standing crop of selected plant communities of forest-tundra Table 2

\* Dead and alive, attached. In the cases when dead mass was estimated separately, its value is shown in brackets.

The annual production of above-ground biomass is  $35-40 \text{ g/m}^2$  in tundra communities,  $356 \text{ g/m}^2$  in the meadow community; the annual litter fall is  $31-39 \text{ g/m}^2$  in tundras and  $356 \text{ g/m}^2$  in the meadow (Table 3) respectively. The rate of decomposition of dead parts of plants is higher (34 per cent) in the meadow than in tundras (10-27 per cent). A certain correlation between the characteristics of annual production, annual fall and the rate of decomposition of dead parts of plants shows that the various links of the biological turnover cycle are well balanced in the communities studied. This peculiar feature determines the relative stability of tundra as well as of meadow communities in the forest-tundra zone.

	Annual production of above-	Annual fall of above-	of dead above	of decomposition e-ground parts of original weight)
Plant association	ground biomass (gr/m <sup>2</sup> )	ground biomass (gr/m <sup>2</sup> )	in litter bags	on the basis of Jenny, Gessel & Bingham formula
Moss shrub hummock tundra	35	31	13-27	25
Dwarf shrub moss spotty tundra	40	39	10-18	-
Calamagros- tis langs- dorffii and Carex stans- dominated swampy meadow	356	356	-	34

Table 3	Annual production, fall and rate of decomposition of dead above-
	ground parts of plants