Reduced sensitivity of recent tree-growth to temperature at high northern latitudes

K. R. Briffa[*], **F. H. Schweingruber**[†], **P. D. Jones**[*],
T. J. Osborn[*], **S. G. Shiyatov**[‡] **& E. A. Vaganov**[§]

[*] *Climatic Research Unit, University of East Anglia, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK*
[†] *Swiss Federal Institute of Forest, Snow and Landscape Research,
Zürcherstrasse 111, CH-8903, Birmensdorf, Switzerland*
[‡] *Institute of Plant and Animal Ecology, Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of
Sciences, 8 Marta Street, Ekaterinburg 620219, Russia*
[§] *Institute of Forest, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences,
Krasnoyarsk, Russia*

....

**Tree-ring chronologies that represent annual changes in the density
of wood formed during the late summer can provide a proxy for local
summertime air temperature[1]. Here we undertake an examination
of large-regional-scale wood-density/air-temperature relationships
using measurements from hundreds of sites at high latitudes in
the Northern Hemisphere. When averaged over large areas of
northern America and Eurasia, tree-ring density series display a
strong coherence with summer temperature measurements aver-
aged over the same areas, demonstrating the ability of this proxy
to portray mean temperature changes over sub-continents and
even the whole Northern Hemisphere. During the second half of
the twentieth century, the decadal-scale trends in wood density
and summer temperatures have increasingly diverged as wood
density has progressively fallen. The cause of this increasing
insensitivity of wood density to temperature changes is not
known, but if it is not taken into account in dendroclimatic
reconstructions, past temperatures could be overestimated. More-
over, the recent reduction in the response of trees to air-tempera-
ture changes would mean that estimates of future atmospheric
CO_2 concentrations, based on carbon-cycle models that are uni-
formly sensitive to high-latitude warming, could be too low.**

We have based this analysis on tree-growth data derived from
trees sampled at more than 300 locations spread across the Northern
Hemisphere (Fig. 1). These generally cool and moist sites were all
chosen at relatively high latitudes or high elevations for the
potential sensitivity of year-by-year tree growth to local temperature
variability[2]. Though many of the sites are not strictly at tree-line,
these data are a good proxy for the growth of the northern part of
the boreal forest, rather than the large areas of closed-canopy, more
drought-sensitive southern coniferous forests. Ring widths and the
densities of the parts of the rings formed in late summer (maxi-
mum-latewood ring densities) were measured using multiple tree
cores from each site[1]. The measurement series were then individu-
ally detrended to remove inherent age-related bias, by taking
residuals from a simple function fit to the raw data and the resulting
dimensionless indices averaged to form separate ring-width and

density chronologies for each site[3]. The detrending process results in a loss of multi-century variance, the extent of which is dependent on tree age and the flexibility of the fitted function[4,5]. These chronologies represent tree growth variability on timescales of years to centuries.

The fidelity of the local temperature sensitivity of these data has been clearly demonstrated by comparison with instrumental records and by the use of similar chronologies, or groups of chronologies, to reconstruct either regional-mean or detailed spatial patterns of past temperature variability at various locations, such as in northern Fennoscandia, western and northern North America and northern Russia[2,6–9], frequently using principal component regression techniques[10]. Here we have simply combined the dimen-sionless chronologies into large regional mean series, as defined in Fig. 1 legend, and rescaled the regional means and variances to match over a common base (1881–1940). Our purpose is to identify very large (sub-continental scale) growth signals, necessarily obscuring any individual and localized site factors.

Table 1a shows the strength of the associations between these regional chronologies and summer mean temperatures[11] (April–September for density and June–August for the ring-width comparisons) averaged over similar areas (Fig. 1). The correlations focus separately on short (interannual) and longer-timescale (decadal and above) common variability in that they are calculated using high-pass (<10-year) and low-pass (>10-year) filtered data respectively.

On the interannual timescale, averaged across all regions, about

Table 1 Correlations between tree-ring and temperature series

	Maximum-latewood density*				Ring widths†			
	Interannual‡		Decadal§		Interannual		Decadal	
	1881–1960	1881–1981	1881–1960	1881–1981	1881–1960	1881–1981	1881–1960	1881–1981
a Regional-mean tree-growth series versus temperature								
NWNA‖	71	66	58	46	35	33	12	15
SWNA	72	75	72	63	27	22	−4	4
ENA	72	73	82	70	33	31	26	15
NEUR	89	87	92	81	73	64	56	45
SEUR	59	62	82	79	44	39	−6	7
WSIB	82	83	75	58	41	42	45	28
CSIB	56	51	83	67	42	34	54	44
ESIB	52	56	78	52	27	37	21	37
NORTH	73	71	90	60	32	28	78	34
SOUTH	64	67	73	65	37	41	12	13
ALL	68	70	89	64	47	47	74	43
b Tree-growth series versus Northern Hemisphere mean temperatures								
NORTH	45	44	74	41	16	11	79	42
SOUTH	2	10	61	40	8	14	25	28
ALL	33	36	81	46	19	17	75	51

All correlations in this table have been multiplied by 100.
* Correlated against April–September mean instrumental averages.
† Correlated against June–August instrumental data.
‡ Interannual correlations involve timeseries of residuals from decadally smoothed curves.
§ Decadal correlations are calculated using decadally smoothed series.
‖ Regional acronyms defined in Fig. 1 legend.

Figure 1 Spatial patterns of relative tree-growth decline. **a**, The location (circles) of tree-ring chronologies and the division (black lines) into regional averages. **b**, The locations (black lines) of the grid-box temperatures used for comparison with the tree growth series. The coloured contours show where the ring density (**a**) and ring width (**b**) are enhanced (positive) or suppressed (negative) relative to summer temperature during the period 1975–85 compared to the period 1935–45. Values are dimensionless, being the change in the difference of two normalized time series in each grid box. Definition of regions and number of sites: southwestern (SWNA, 53 sites), northwestern (NWNA, 30) and eastern (ENA, 34) North America; northern (NEUR, 46) and southern (SEUR, 72) Europe; western (WSIB, 42), central (CSIB, 31) and eastern (ESIB, 6) Siberia; all 125 sites in SWNA and SEUR form the composite region SOUTH, and all 189 sites in the six northern regions form the NORTH region; ALL is an average of all 314 sites.

50% of the variance (r^2) of each temperature series is common to the density series, with the individual regional variances ranging from 80% (NEUR) to ~30% (CSIB and ESIB). Consistently similar correlations are achieved, either calculated over the shorter (1881–1960) or extended (to 1981) periods, or when larger regional density chronologies made up of the NORTH (incorporating the NWNA, ENA, NEUR, WSI, CSIB and ESIB) and SOUTH (SWNA and SEUR) data are compared with the equivalent regionally-averaged temperatures.

The regional correlations for the decadally smoothed densities and temperatures are, in general, higher than the interannual values, with per cent common variances ranging from 34 (NWNA) to 85 (NEUR) and averaging ~60%: but only for the period 1881–1960. When the decadal correlations are calculated over the longer 1881–1981 period, consistent falls in the common variances are apparent in all areas. The falls are relatively small in the southern regions (from 67% to 62% in SEUR; and from 52% to 40% in SWNA) but much greater in the northern areas with a maximum fall in ESIB of >30% (from 61% to 27%). The average degradation in decadal-timescale common variance is nearly 20% across all eight regions. This low-frequency loss in temperature sensitivity is even more apparent when the correlations for the larger regions are compared over the two periods: over 30% loss of common variance overall, with the simple correlations falling from 0.90 to 0.60 in the NORTH and from 0.73 to 0.65 in the SOUTH, and 0.89 to 0.64 in ALL (the average series for all 314 sites).

For the period before 1960, these smoothed NORTH and SOUTH density averages also have 55% and 37% variance in common, respectively, with the full 'Northern Hemisphere' (land and marine) mean temperature record[11] (NHT), while density series ALL has 66% variance in common with the NHT (Table 1b). (The latter can be compared with a value of 87% for the comparison between the actual temperature data for the 'ALL' region and the NHT series). Recalculating these density and NHT correlations over a period extended by only 21 years results in common variances falling by 17% and 16% for the NORTH and SOUTH series, and 21% for the ALL.

In general, the ring-width/temperature correlations are lower than those for the densities. This is particularly evident on an interannual timescale because ring widths are more strongly auto-correlated and may integrate temperature forcing over a wider, seasonal (perhaps annual) window and over more than a single year[9,12,13]. However, the recent relative loss of decadal temperature sensitivity is also apparent in the ring-width data and is more noticeable in the larger spatial aggregate series where the temperature associations are stronger, especially, as with density, in the northern regions.

Figure 2 illustrates the temporal changes in the association between the smoothed tree growth and appropriate temperature series for the eight individual regions and for the NORTH, SOUTH and ALL aggregate series. Instrumental summer temperatures show slight increases in all areas. Most of this warming occurred in the first half of this century so that mean temperature levels in recent decades, the warmth of the late 1980s only match, or barely exceed, the levels of earlier warm periods. A negative bias in the comparable tree-growth records during the second half of this century is apparent in all regions. In all but the two southern regions, the temperature and tree growth curves increasingly diverge. In the areas where the growth data extend through to the warm late 1980s and early 1990s (NEUR, WSIB, CSIB, ESIB), the divergence is at a maximum in the most recent years.

Averaged around the Northern Hemisphere, early tree growth

Figure 2 Regional tree growth and temperatures over the past 120 years. Decadally smoothed tree growth (thin lines), maximum-latewood density or ring width, plotted against mean summer temperatures (thick lines), April–September for density and June–August for ring width, for each of the regions described in Fig. 1. The difference series (growth minus temperature), shaded to emphasize negative values, are shown to the right of each pair of curves. All data series have been scaled to have zero mean and unit variance over the period 1881–1940 (except the short ESIB temperature series which uses 1932–75).

(ALL) can be seen to follow closely the decadal trends in recorded summer temperatures, tracking the rise to the relatively high levels of the 1930s and 1940s and the subsequent fall in the 1950s. However, although temperatures rose again after the mid-1960s and reached unprecedentedly high recorded levels by the late 1980s, hemispheric tree growth fell consistently after 1940, and in the late 1970s and 1980s reached levels as low as those attained in the cool 1880s. Over the hemisphere, the divergence between tree growth and mean summer temperatures began perhaps as early as the 1930s; became clearly recognisable, particularly in the north, after 1960; and has continued to increase up until the end of the common record at around 1990. The reason for this increasingly apparent and widespread phenomenon is not known but any one, or a combination, of several factors might be involved.

The positive linear thermal response of the trees may break down above some absolute threshold, perhaps with lower soil moisture exerting increasing stress at a number of sites[13]. However, the fidelity of the long-term growth response to the relatively high temperatures in the middle part of the century would imply the need for a concomitant lowering of soil moisture levels over very widespread areas during recent decades. There is little evidence that this has occurred in the regions represented by these trees[14,15]. Also the maintenance of high positive interannual temperature correlations during recent decades, argues against a simple soil-moisture-related explanation of the reducing tree growth. However, more subtle changes in precipitation or temperature seasonality, such as the documented move to warmer springs, and associated earlier snow melt[16] mean that it would be unwise to dismiss summer drought sensitivity too readily. Other factors such as increasing competition with other plants and increasing insect herbivory could play a role[17,18], but the apparent widespread synchroneity of the phenomenon suggests a hemispheric-scale influence. Higher UV-B levels[19–21] or decreased solar radiation receipts (increased optical depth)[22–24] may be involved, but attempting to isolate such effects will be problematic in the presence of increasing atmospheric CO_2 concentrations and increasing amounts of acidic deposition and tropospheric ozone, all of which, along with climate variability, are likely to influence tree growth[25,26].

Whatever the cause, this change in tree-growth response has important implications for studies of past and future climate change. The common use of least-squares regression for developing dendroclimatic transfer function equations to estimate past climates, imposes an equality of means in both the predictand and predictor time series over the fitting or 'calibration' period[10]. Any bias in mean tree growth will, therefore, be 'corrected' during calibration, with the consequence that the derived regression coefficients will be biased. Our results imply that this might increasingly result in systematic overestimation of past temperatures, particularly in regions where the loss of low-frequency temperature sensitivity in tree growth is greatest (eastern Siberia and eastern North America: see Fig. 1), where tree-ring 'standardization' is designed to preserve maximum long-timescale chronology variability[4], and where transfer functions are calibrated over the most recent decades.

The potential importance of these results for modelling future temperatures derives from their relevance to carbon-cycle-model experiments that seek to explain the history of measured atmospheric CO_2 concentrations and provide realistic projections[27,28]. Along with spatio-temporal analyses of past CO_2 measurements, these experiments show the existence of an important missing CO_2 sink, a large part of which is believed to be the northern terrestrial biosphere, including the boreal forest[29]. Accurate parametrization of the magnitude of this sink is necessary in order to reduce the uncertainties in the CO_2 mass balance and hence in projections of future CO_2 concentrations and climate[27]. Although we emphasize that our results apply generally only to the high-latitude temperature-sensitive forest regions of the Northern Hemisphere, the degrada-

tion in thermal response of this significant area of the world's biosphere suggests that we should be cautious in assuming, in carbon-cycle-model experiments, a constant temperature-dependent biospheric CO_2 uptake during different halves of the present century, and in any future warmer world. Such an assumption may lead to underestimates of future atmospheric concentrations of CO_2 and conservative estimates of future warming. However, the real significance of our results, in this regard, can only be gauged by quantifying the impact of reduced tree growth on absolute amounts of carbon sequestered and comparing these with estimates over previous centuries based on earlier tree-ring data. □

Methods

The individual site tree-ring chronologies were produced from multiple increment core samples replicated within and between trees. The individual ring width (TRW) and maximum-latewood-density (MXD) measurement series were corrected for age-related bias by taking residuals from a generalized exponential or "Hugershoff" function of the form $G_t = at^b \exp(-ct)$, where G_t is the biological growth trend, t is time and a, b and c are empirically fitted constants[3]. A simple arithmetic average of the resulting dimensionless indices is computed across each year to form each site chronology. We examined a cross-section of the data looking for systematic misfits of the function in recent years without finding evidence that the results we describe are an artefact of inappropriate standardization.

Before measuring absolute densities, resin and heartwood compounds were extracted with alcohol and water. There was no evidence of heartwood/sapwood bias in the density profiles and no evidence of bias associated with technical difficulties measuring very narrow rings.

The individual MXD and TRW site chronologies were normalized with respect to their mean and standard deviation for the period 1901–40. Within each region, all chronologies were averaged together with a time-dependent weighting equal to the fraction of all possible tree cores that were available for a particular year of each chronology. Each regional mean thus obtained tended to have greater variance during years when few chronologies were available to contribute to the average; this effect was corrected[30] for by scaling by the square root of the effective number (n') of independent samples available in each year, where $n' = n/[1 + (n-1)\bar{r}]$. Here, $\bar{r}$ is the mean intersite correlation between the n chronologies, a measure of the regional-scale common signal. After correction, each regional mean timeseries was normalized with respect to 1881–1940.

Regional mean temperature time series were constructed by averaging together the stated monthly mean temperature anomalies from each 5° by 5° box in which a chronology for that region is located (Fig. 1). The variance of each of these series was also corrected according to the effective number of independent samples that contributed to each year's mean, and was also normalized with respect to 1881–1940. The Northern Hemisphere mean temperature series is made up of all available gridbox data, including land and marine data, north of the Equator.

In the most recent period (1983–92), the regional mean tree-growth timeseries (particularly ALL and NORTH) are spatially biased towards northern Eurasia, due to the earlier development of chronologies in other regions. For comparison, similarly biased temperature time series were constructed by using a time-dependent sampling area defined each year by choosing only those boxes that had MXD and TRW chronology values in them. These biased series were very similar to those temperatures computed from the fixed regional grids (Fig. 1) and do not alter any of the conclusions drawn here.

1. Schweingruber, F. H. *Tree Rings. Basics and Applications of Dendrochronology* (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1988).
2. Schweingruber, F. H. & Briffa, K. R. in *Climate Variations and Forcing Mechanisms of the Last 2000 Years* (eds Jones, P. D., Bradley, R. S. & Jouzel, J.) 43–66 (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
3. Bräker, O. Der Alterstrend bei Jahrringdichten und Jahrringbreiten von Nadelhölzern und sein Ausgleich. *Mitt. forstl. Bundesvers Anst Wien* **142**, 75–102 (1981).
4. Briffa, K. R., Jones, P. D., Schweingruber, F. H., Karlén, W. & Shiyatov, S. G. in *Climate Variations and Forcing Mechanisms of the Last 2000 Years* (eds Jones, P. D., Bradley, R. S. & Jouzel, J.) 9–41 (Springer, Berlin, 1996).
5. Cook, E. R., Briffa, K. R., Meko, D. M., Graybill, D. A. & Funkhouser, G. The 'segment-length curse' in long tree-ring chronology development for palaeoclimatic studies. *Holocene* **5**, 229–237 (1995).
6. Schweingruber, F. H., Briffa, K. R. & Nogler, P. A tree-ring densitometric transect from Alaska to Labrador. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* **37**, 151–169 (1993).

7. D'Arrigo, R. D., Jacoby, G. C. & Free, R. M. Tree-ring width and maximum latewood density at the North American tree line: parameters of climate change. *Can. J. Forest Res.* **22,** 1290–1296 (1992).

8. Graybill, D. A. & Shiyatov, S. G. in *Climate Since A. D. 1500* (eds Bradley, R. S. & Jones, P. D.) 393–414 (Routledge, London, 1992).

9. Graumlich, L. J. A 1000-year record of temperature and precipitation in the Sierra Nevada. *Quat. Res.* **39,** 249–255 (1993).

10. Cook, E. R., Briffa, K. R. & Jones, P. D. Spatial regression methods in dendroclimatology: a review and comparison of two techniques. *Int. J. Climatol.* **14,** 379–402 (1994).

11. Jones, P. D. & Briffa, K. R. Global surface air temperature variations during the twentieth century: Part 1, spatial, temporal and seasonal details. *Holocene* **2,** 165–179 (1992).

12. Jacoby, G. C. & D'Arrigo, R. D. Reconstructed Northern Hemisphere annual temperature since 1671 based on high-latitude tree-ring data from North America. *Clim. Change* **14,** 39–49 (1989).

13. Jacoby, G. C. & D'Arrigo, R. D. Tree ring width and density evidence of climatic and potential forest change in Alaska. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **9,** 227–234 (1995).

14. Briffa, K. R., Jones, P. D. & Hulme, M. Summer moisture variability across Europe, 1892–1991: an analysis based on the Palmer Drought Severity Index. *Int. J. Climatol.* **14,** 475–506 (1994).

15. Nicholls, N. *et al.* in *Climate Change 1995* (eds Houghton, J. T. *et al.*) 133–192 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996).

16. Groisman, P. Y., Karl, T. R. & Knight, R. W. Observed impact of snow cover on the heat balance and the rise of continental spring temperatures. *Science* **263,** 198–200 (1994).

17. Hogg, P. H. & Hurdle, P. A. The aspen parkland in western Canada: a dry-climate analogue for the future boreal forest? *Wat. Air Soil Pollut.* **82,** 391–400 (1995).

18. Fleming, R. A. & Volney, J. A. Effects of climate change on insect defoliator population processes in Canada's boreal forest: some plausible scenarios. *Wat. Air Soil Pollut.* **82,** 445–454 (1995).

19. DeLuisi, J. J. *et al.* Northern and middle-latitude ozone profile features and trends observed by SBUV and Umkehr, 1979–1990. *J. Geophys. Res.* **99,** 18901–18908 (1994).

20. Sullivan, J. H. in *Stratospheric Ozone Depletion/UV-B Radiation in the Biosphere* (eds Biggs, R. H. & Joyner, M. E. B.) 67–76 (Springer, Berlin, 1994).

21. Tevini, M. in *Stratospheric Ozone Depletion/UV-B Radiation in the Biosphere* (eds Biggs, R. H. & Joyner, M. E. B.) 37–56 (Springer, Berlin, 1994).

22. Bryson, R. A. & Goodman, B. M. Volcanic activity and climatic changes. *Science* **207,** 1041–1044 (1980).

23. Asaturov, M. L. *et al. Volcanoes, Stratospheric Aerosols and Earth's Climate* (Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad, 1986) [In Russian].

24. Bradley, R. S. & Jones, P. D. in *Climate Since A.D. 1500* (eds Bradley, R. S. & Jones, P. D.) 606–622 (Routledge, London, 1992).

25. Melillo, J. M., Prentice, I. C., Farquhar, G. D., Schulz, E.-D. & Sala, O. E. in *Climate Change 1995* (eds Houghton, J. T. *et al.*) 444–481 (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996).

26. Hättenschwiler, S., Schweingruber, F. H. & Körner, C. Tree ring responses to elevated CO_2 and increased N deposition in *Picea Abies. Plant Cell Environ.* **19,** 1369–1378 (1996).

27. Wigley, T. M. L. Balancing the carbon budget. Implications for projections of future carbon dioxide concentration changes. *Tellus B* **45,** 409–425 (1993).

28. Craig, S. G. & Holmén, K. J. Uncertainties in future CO_2 projections. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **9,** 139–152 (1995).

29. Ciais, P., Tans, P. P., Trolier, M., White, J. W. C. & Francey, R. J. A large northern hemisphere terrestrial CO_2 sink indicated by the $^{13}C/^{12}C$ ratio of atmospheric CO_2. *Science* **269,** 1098–1102 (1995).

30. Osborn, T. J., Briffa, K. R. & Jones, P. D. Adjusting variance for sample size in tree-ring chronologies and other regional-mean timeseries. *Dendrochronologia* (in the press).